

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARRYL JOHNSON,  
  
                                Petitioner,  
  
                        v.  
  
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS AND  
REHABILITATION,  
  
                                Respondent.

No. 2:20-cv-0711 AC P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. This court will not rule on petitioner’s request to proceed in forma pauperis.

The application attacks a conviction issued by the Los Angeles County Superior Court. While both this court and the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was convicted have jurisdiction, any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner’s application are more readily available in Los Angeles County. Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484, 499 n.15 (1973); 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).


Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This court has not ruled on petitioner’s application to proceed in forma pauperis; and

////

1           2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of  
2 California.

3 DATED: April 8, 2020

4   
5 ALLISON CLAIRE  
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28